

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,546		12/12/2003	Jeffrey Larson	47178-00050 9700 . EXAMINER	
20583	759	90 05/19/2005			
JONES DAY			PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3732	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

رر
~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/734,546	LARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pedro Philogene	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritland (2003/0236447) in view of Hoftman et al. (6,354,995).

With respect to claims 4-6, Ritland discloses a retractor (10) a pair of pedicle screws (S) for attachment to pedicles and a pair of guide member (33,T) in poly-axial engagement with the a respective screw so that the guide member has a range of movement defining a cone with respect to the longitudinal axis of the screw; as set forth in para [0006] and para [0047-0062].

It is noted that Ritland did not teach of a retractor having a closed and open positions and the closed position presenting a substantially linear form, as claimed by applicant. However, since Ritland stated that any retractor could have been used and since Hoftman et al evidences the use of a retractor having a closed and open positions and the closed position presenting a substantially linear form to increase the effective diameter of an orifice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ritland, as taught by Hoftman et al to increase the effective diameter of an orifice.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3732

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or reasonably teach a retractor body having two elongated channels and guide members passing through the corresponding channels.

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

2005/0080418	04-2005	Simonson et al.
6,007,487	12-1999	Foley et al.
6,849,064	02-2005	Hamada
2004/0186346	09-2004	smith et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,546

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene May 16, 2005

PEDRO PHILOGENE